G R S 58]

Previous references

1910—11
(Education)

File No 317—10

Serial Nos 3 & 4

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 3 & 4

Part B for September 1911

SUBJECT

Award of the Damodar Dass Khadayata Scholarships for 1911

3 From the I G Edn No 521/36 Dy 14 7 11 forwards for orders copy of letter Dy 7 7 11 from the Hon'ble Mr G Parekh recommending that the Savings of Rs 501-p.m. under the Scholarships of 1908 09 & 1911 be utilized for granting Scholarships to three students

4 To the I G Edn No 2324 Dy 20-9-11 with reference to the above states that the Committee has no power to grant Scholarships recommended and Mr Parekh may be superseded

Rungta & Sons 16-10-11

GPB—5 000—22-10 10

GRS 11] 1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Education)

NOTES

File No 317-10

FILE HEADING

Award of the Damodar Das Khatau Scholarships of 1911

From The Govt of Bombay in Bombay

No 521/36 Dated 14/7/11 Received 20/7/11

Diary No 875 Enclos 1 Spare Copies Serial No 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Forwards for orders copy of letter dated 7th July 1911 from the Hon'ble Mr. Gokuldas Parekh regarding the withdrawal of the surplus under Damodar Das Khatau Scholarships fund & Re Commending that the Savings of Rs 50 per mth under the Scholarships of 1908, 1909 & 1911 be utilized for granting Scholarships to the 3 students mentioned

NOTES AND ORDERS

Dated for orders with References.

2 The Hon'ble Mr. Gokuldas Parekh, President of the Advisory Committee for the Damodar Khatau Scholarships, first proposed

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—15000—24 4 09

Serial No

at a cost of Rs 185/ per
the award of 11 addl Scholarships
in 1911 out of the Savings in the
Khadayata Scholarships during the
last 4 years Govt did not sanction.
this Recommendation Vide G.O
PW No 8848-9/Gen-317-10-2 dt 29/5/11)

2 Mr Gokuldas Parekh
says that it is only in connection
with the utilization of the Surplus
of the Fund that the orders of Govt
are required under Rule VI He
proposes that the Savings of Rs 50
pm available under the Scholar-
ships of 1908, 1909 to 1911 may be
granted to the 3 following Candidates.
1) Bhanudas Kisandas. Rs 20
tenable in the Indore College for
15 months
2) Chunilal Bhawanlal Parekh Rs 15
for 1 year in the Gujerat College
3) Manicklal Motilal Desai Rs 15
do

Note—C ntn sh et

Serial No

3 The Dept submits the matter for orders

Sec
29/7/11

Secy
29/7/11

4) The present proposals of the Committee to take action under Rule V of the Rules may be approved

2nd Cy

Sec
28/8/11

5) With due deference to Mr Parekh, I think he is not correct in regarding Schps not granted for lack of candidates as Schps "forfeited or rendered vacant" under Rule V. If that was the meaning intended, the words would have been "left vacant"

6) These cases however may be favourably treated as falling under Schps awardable this year. When are the nominations due

7) Put up

19/8/11

CSP
Cec 16 - Sd 2nd Cec
317 7/11-10 11
Co me
Cy of ord
Cec - Hed does
not seem to have
been referred to
29/7/11

Action to be taken
Letter separately
29/7/11

NOTE—Co tn she t

CPB—50 000—12 8 10

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 317 of 1911-12

Serial No

The nominations for Scholarships should be sent by the Committee to the Govt early in each year. The recommendation of the Govt of India for these Scholarships is received in March every year.

BB 3/9/11

The Scholarships for 1911 have been disposed of by G O of 29 5 11 as per below (2nd Consultn)

MZ 1-9-11

A reply may be sent as noted in para 5.

SB 5/9/11

2/9/11

Draft Govt for approval

BB 8/9/11 (Secy)

MZ 9-9-11

Issue

MZ 14/9/11

CPB—50 000—12 8 10

G R S 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat

Edn File No 317 of 1960

Serial No	
4	To the D E of Edn no 2324 & 20 9 11 States that under rule V Schls forfeited or rendered vacant shall be granted to other Khadayala candidates studying for any of the courses comprised under the Scheme, that Schls not granted for lack of candidates cannot be considered as Schls forfeited or rendered vacant, that in the present case the savings of Rs 50 refer to are on account of Schls not granted for lack of candidates, and that the committee has therefore no power to recommend the utilization of the Schls under rule 5 and that Tr Panchh may be informed accordingly

C I B—50 000— 12 8 10

Edn 317-10-3

Edn 81] D 375

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE

No 521/36

Bangalore 14th July 1911 19

From

J WEIR ESQ MA

Inspector General of Education in Mysore

To

C S Balasundaram Iyer Esq , B A ,

Offg Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, General & Revenue Departments, Bangalore

SIR

I have the honour to submit herewith for favour of orders of Government a copy of letter dated 7th July 1911 from the Hon'ble Mr Gokuldas Parikh

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Inspector General

HRF

2

Girgaum, Bombay

7th July 1911

To,

J Weir, Esq , M A ,

Inspector General of Education in Mysore

Sir,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No 10779-81/1642 of the 10th Instant, covering Mysore Government Resolution No 8848-9/Edn of 29th May 1911

2 The Advisory Committee of the Khadayta Scholarships have noted the decision of the Mysore Government to consider separately the question of the utilization of the unappropriated balance of the Sheth Damodardas Fund set apart for the educational benefit of the Khadayta community on this the Committee propose to submit a separate representation which in due course will be forwarded to you for submission to Government

3 The Advisory Committee feels that in my letter of recommendation, I did not make sufficient attempt to differentiate between scholarships rendered or remaining vacant under Rule V and scholarships to be given out of the unutilised surplus of the Fund It is only in connection with the utilization of the surplus that general or special orders of Government are required The Committee think that omission to make this clear has led to misapprenhension and they ask me to put the matter properly before Government and respectfully to submit to them to reconsider their decision

4 One scholarship of Rs 20/- a month is provided for a Khadayta student of medicine, it is to be given every alternate year and is to be tenable for 5 years under Rule LL (d) A scholarship under this head became open for being awarded in 1908 but was not awarded, if it had been awarded, it would have continued till the end of 1912 Again a scholarship of Rs 15/- tenable for 3 years for a student of

Civil

Civil Engineering under the same head had become open for being awarded this year This was not awarded as there was no candidate who applied for it, another scholarship of Civil Engineering became open for being awarded in 1909 If awarded it wouldhave lasted upto the end of the current year In this way three scholarships of the aggregate amount of Rs 50/- a month are vacant and the Committee consider that they are justified in recommending under Rule V that they be awarded to any Khadayta candidate who is studying any of the courses comprised in the scheme, is eligible for the same and is in need of educational assistance I submit respectfully that these cases do not fall under Rule VI, but under Rule V

5 The Committee beg to make the following recommendations for the award of these scholarships --

(a) One scholarship of Rs 20/- a month be awarded to Bhanudas Kisandas of the Indore College studying for the B Sc Examination of the Allahabad University The examination at which the candidate can appear, takes place in March 1912 The Committee, therefore propose that the scholarship be made tenable for 15 months instead of two years

(b) Two scholarships of Rs 15/- each tenable for 1 year be awarded to the following two candidates who have passed the Previous Examination and are studying for the Intermediate Examination --

I Chunilal Chimanlal Parekh of the Gujarat College, Ahmedabad

II Manekl al Motilal Desai of the Baroda College, Baroda

6 The Committee wish me to draw the attention of Government to the fact that the three candidates on whose behalf they make their recommendation are particularly deserving

of help

Under these circumstances I respectfully ask that the Mysore Government will be pleased to reconsider its decision and award the scholarships herein recommended

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Sd) Gokuldas Parikh

" C O P Y "

Inspector General

TNR

GRS 26]

Diary No of letter replied to 375

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
the 19

Date of issue 20-9-11

Signed by JWBR

5

317-10
File No
Serial No 4

No 2324
Dn 317-10
20 9 11

To

The Hon'ble Mr. Gokhale

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 521/36 dated the 14th July 1911 submitting for orders copy of letter dated the 7th July 1911 from Mr. Gokuldas Parekh, President of the Advisory Committee for the Damodar Das Khakar Gujrati Scholarships, stating that 3 Scholarships of the aggregate amount of Rs. 50 a month

over

SUBJECT

have fallen Vacant during the
3 years 1908, 1909 & 1911 and
Recommending that under
Rule V of the Rules for the Grant
of the Damodar Das Khadayata
Scholarships ~~that~~ these 3 Scholarships
may be granted to the 3 Students
mentioned, I am directed to
State that under Rule V
of the Rules Scholarships forfeited
or Rendered Vacant ~~shall be~~ ~~vacant~~ should be
granted to other Khadayata
Candidates Studying for the
any of the Courses Comprised
under the Scheme Scholarships
which are not ~~Recommended~~
granted in any year for
lack of Candidates cannot
be considered as Scholarships
forfeited or Rendered Vacant
~~as per Executive Resolution~~
~~Seem to Thanks~~ In the present
case the Savings of Rs 50
Reported to are on account

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

G1 B—20 000—2 9 10

GRS 27]

GLNI I AI AND RLVFNUI
SLCRETARIAT

(Continuation Sheet draft form)

of Scholarships which ~~Cook~~ were not granted for lack of Candidates. The Committee has no power to Recommend the ~~grant~~ utilization of these Scholarships under Rule 5 of the Rules. Mr. Gokuldas Parekh may be informed accordingly

[illegible]

[illegible]

To The [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

GPB—10 000—22 2 11